Recent updates in linear solvers towards NVH and fatigue analysis in Ansys LS-DYNA<sup>®</sup>

Yun Huang, Tom Littlewood, Zhe Cui, Ushnish Basu, Francois-Henry Rouet, David Benson



Ansys

### Index

- A quick review of the NVH and fatigue solvers
- Overview of recent updates
- Introduction of the updates
  - FRF: torsion loading
  - SSD: coupled fluid-structure system
  - BEM Acoustics
    - Acoustic directivity plots
    - SMP improvement
    - Fast matrix assembly by Skeletonized Interpolation technique
    - A quick restart to get results for more measure points
  - D3max: support of ALE results
  - Fatigue: integration with material damage for battery safety simulation
- Summary and future work

#### A quick review of the NVH and fatigue solvers

#### **Eigensolvers**

#### Vibration solvers

- Lanczos
- MCMS
- LOBPCG
- Intermittent eigenvalue
- Pre-stressed eigenvalue

- FRF
- SSD
- Random Vibration
- Response Spectrum
  - Analysis
- DDAM

#### Acoustic solvers

- Transient acoustics (FEM)
- Frequency domain BEM
- Frequency domain FEM
- Acoustic eigenvalue analysis
- Spectral element method
- Modal acoustics

Contours of Pressu max IP. value min=0, at elem# 1

- Statistical Energy Analysis
- Perfectly Matched Layer

#### Fatigue solvers

- SSD fatigue
- Random vibration fatigue
- Time domain fatigue
- Mean stress correction
- Multiaxial fatigue













### Capabilities

- Full body, trimmed body, BIW global modes (torsion & bending), dynamic stiffness, equivalent static stiffness, effective mass, etc.
- Shaker table testing simulation
  - Harmonic vibration (sine sweep)
  - Random vibration
- Vibration analysis with pre-stress
- Acoustic panel contribution analysis
- Muffler transmission loss analysis
- Vehicle pass-by noise
- Vibro-acoustics















### Capabilities

- Acoustic eigenmodes (cabin)
- Vehicle interior and exterior noise
- Acoustic transfer vectors
- Equivalent radiated power, radiation efficiency
- Coupling of fatigue and dynamic analysis
- Fatigue analysis based on stress and strain
- Multiaxial fatigue, mean stress correction, etc.
- Progressive fatigue failure modeling











Ansys

© 2022 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

LS-DYNA keyword deck by LS-PrePos

of X-velocity

in=-10,7847, at node# 3

Fringe Levels

1.008e+01

8.342e+00

6.603e+0

4.864e+00

3.126e+00

1.387e+00

-3.520e-01

-2 091++00

-3.830e+00 -5.568e+00 -7.307e+00

9.046e+00

### Features

- A common model approach
  - based on LS-DYNA crash analysis model
  - save model conversion / translation
  - facilitate multidisciplinary design optimization
- A complete suite of acoustic analysis methods (FEM, BEM, SEA, SEM, ERP, etc.)
  - From time domain to frequency domain
  - From low frequency to high frequency
  - From interior to exterior
  - From near field to far field
- Seamless coupling / integration with other Multiphysics solvers in LS-DYNA





### Overview of recent updates



### Summary of recent updates

Acoustics	<ul> <li>Implementation of *FREQUENCY_DOMAIN_AOUSTIC_DIRECTIVITY to create acoustic directivity plot from BEM computation</li> <li>Improvement of performance <ul> <li>Improved SMP for variational indirect BEM</li> <li>Fast matrix assembly for variational indirect BEM</li> </ul> </li> <li>New options and boundary conditions <ul> <li>sound absorption coefficient boundary</li> <li>symmetric boundary</li> <li>option _POWER to calculate and output acoustic power</li> <li>restart to get acoustic results for new locations</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
Random vibration	<ul> <li>OASPL computation for random pressure and plane wave load</li> <li>GRMS computation for base acceleration PSD load</li> </ul>
FRF / SSD	<ul> <li>support new loading (torque and base rotational motion) in FRF / direct SSD</li> <li>NODOUT_SSD &amp; ELOUT_SSD for direct SSD with frequency-dependent material properties</li> </ul>
ERP	<ul> <li>support frequency-dependent ERP radiation loss factor</li> <li>performance improvement by allowing running ERP without SSD output</li> </ul>
d3max	<ul> <li>support ALE output in d3max</li> </ul>

**//nsys** 

### Summary of recent updates

Fatigue	<ul> <li>Added counted cycles for fatigue in d3ftg</li> <li>Added Steinberg's method with peak crossing frequency</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Integration of fatigue damage and material damage (GISSMO) for total damage ratio (e.g. for battery damage)</li> </ul>



# Introduction of the updates



### FRF: Torsion loading

- FRF (Frequency Response Function) calculates transfer function between load and dynamic response of a system, for a specified range of frequency.
- FRF can provides estimation of dynamic stiffness, effective mass, etc. for BIW, trimmed body, etc.
- Updates
  - Added torsion loading for FRF
  - Fixed bug in converting pressure to nodal force load
  - Enabled using structural damping in FRF

Load			Response
	-√ (	Transfer	\
	~/	function H( $\omega$ )	/
F(ω)			X(ω)











© 2022 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

### SSD: coupled fluid-structure system

#### \*CONTROL\_IMPLICIT\_SSD\_DIRECT

- Direct, complex solution to steady state vibration of coupled acoustic fluid and structure system
- Acoustic solid element ELFORM 8 and 14 may be used
- The coupling of the acoustic fluid and the structural elements is achieved with \*BOUNDARY\_ACOUSTIC\_COUPLING\_MISM ATCH or by merging acoustic and structural nodes with compatible element faces
- Useful for the cases when interaction between the fluid and the structure need to be considered (cabin noise)







Nodal force excitation

(75-500 Hz)

### BEM acoustics: acoustic directivity plot

- Plot files are provided to show acoustic directivity
- Keyword: \*FREQUENCY\_DOMAIN\_ACOUSTIC\_DIRECTIVITY
- Multiple directivity plots can be provided
- Acoustic\_directivity\_node.k is generated to show the location of the nodes

\$# center	radius	 np	normal	angle0	хØ	មូម
1	10.	361	1	5		
*SEI_NUVE_LI 2008	SI_GEMERHIE					
51416	51616					
*SET NODE LI	ST GENERATE					
2009						
51617	51817					
*NODE						
50009	-0.0000665	3	.9993709	0.2871537	7	
50010	-0.0000665	3	.9952047	0.4127432	2	
50011	-0.0000665	3	.9870956	0.5381398	3	
50012	-0.0000665	3	.9750518	0.6632198	3	
50013	-0.0000665	3	.9590850	0.7878598	3	
50014	-0.0000665	3	.9392111	0.9119368	3	







zØ

#### Acoustic directivity plot: validation with APDL

#### 13.11. Example: Monopole Incident Wave Scattering of a Rigid Sphere

This example problem demonstrates the use of FLUID221 to predict the acoustic scattering of a monopole incident wave of a rigid sphere (radius = 1 m).

The monopole spherical source is located at (2, 0, 0).



Scattering of a Rigid Sphere



### BEM acoustics: SMP improvements

- The Acoustics BEM is parallelized over frequencies with MPI.
  - This scales OK for large no. of frequencies (#freq > #MPI ranks).
  - Memory can be an issue because each MPI rank has a matrix etc.
- Prior to R13, each individual frequency solve was serial.
- In R13, parallelized matrix assembly with OpenMP.
  - Conceptually easy, loop over independent matrix blocks.
     (In practice, had to rewrite some code, make it thread safe, etc.)
  - Now we can do hybrid parallelism, MPI+OpenMP. Better for memory and scalability.
- For R14, improved parallelization of assembly and parallelized the linear solver (GMRES).





#### BEM acoustics: SMP improvements – example

#### **Experiment**:

- LS-DYNA Dev/R14;
- Four Intel Xeon Gold 6242 (4x16 cores);
- Engine block model, 78k dofs;
- Speed-up of 41 out of 64 using OpenMP.

#### Notes:

- Very scalable;
- Almost no memory overhead;
- Can be combined with MPI for multiple frequencies. Advice to users is (probably) one MPI rank per node, one thread per core.







#### BEM acoustics: Fast matrix assembly by SI

#### **Performance bottleneck:**

- In the past, matrix blocks are fully evaluated and then compressed into low-rank form. Essentially, we "throw away" most of the entries we evaluate.
- Over 90% of cpu time is spent evaluating matrix entries.

#### **Skeletonized Interpolation (SI):**

 SI is a sampling technique that allows building the compressed form without evaluating all the matrix entries. Less evaluations => faster.



(b) Chebyshev tensor grids for  $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$  and recompressed nodes by SI.



#### BEM acoustics: Fast matrix assembly – examples

Results for two models:

- Engine block model, 78k dofs: SI speeds-up assembly by a factor 2.5x.
- Cabin model from ACE, 240k dofs: SI speeds-up assembly by a factor 5x.

#### Notes:

- Memory usage is unchanged.
- Solution vector is slightly different but same quality.
- In R14 it is the default method.







### BEM acoustics: a quick restart to get results for more field points

#### Suggestion from Ansys WB team:

I have joined Simon's team where I will be able to spend more time developing the Acoustic BEM workbench platform. One thing we were wondering is if it was possible to define the measurement point after the solve.

In the current workflow we define a node as a measurement point before solving, which means that the user must know where the pressure will be measured before solving. Would it be possible for the user to define this point after the solve and to retrieve the pressure at this location?

This process is the one currently used in APDL Harmonic Acoustic, where a microphone is created after the solve and a routine compute the pressure at this point based on the pressure on the mesh.



run jobs	# of field pts	# of CPUs	Total CPU cost
original run	1	24	46 min 34 sec
restart run	9	1	0.3 sec

		*FR	EQUENCY	DOMAIN_ACO	USTIC_BEM					
		\$#	ro	С	fnmin	fnmax	nfreq	dt_out	t_start	pref
		1.	1889E-7	13169.26	1000.0	1010.0		2.5E-5	0.0	2.900E-9
NS10		\$#n	sid_ext	type_ext	nsid_int	type_int	fft_win	trslt	ipfile	iunits
			10	1	Θ	Θ	5	Θ	0	0
		\$#	t_hold	decay						
43			0.0	0.02						
		\$#	method	maxit	tol_iter	ndd	tol_lr	tol_fact	ibdim	npg
4			21	1000	1.000E-6	8	0.000	0.000	0	0
		\$#		nbc	restrt	iedge	noel	nfrup		
A				1	2					
	- New field nts	\$#	ssid	sstype	norm	bem_type	lc1	lc2		
A	new new pts		1	2	1	1				

Timing inf	orm CPU	atio (seconds	n 5) %CPU	Clock(se	econds)	%Clock	
Keyword Processing KW Reading	9.	7583E-02 1140E-02	25.31 13.27	9.758 5.114	35E-02 12E-02	16.76 8.78	
KW Writing Initialization	1. 2.	0922E-02 2386E-01	2.83 58.07	1.092 4.205	22E-02 51E-01	1.88 72.23	
Init Proc Phase Init Proc Phase	1 1. 2 3.	5331E-01 6507E-02	. 39.77 9.47	2.48 1.08	L5E-01 54E-01	42.63 18.65	
BEM Acoustics Field output	6 2.	4064E-02 9095E-02	16.62 7.55	6.400 2.909	55E-02 95E-02	11.00 5.00	
Totals	3.	B550E-01	100.00	5.82	L6E-01	100.00	
Problem time	= 0.	0000E+00	)				
Total CPU time	=	0 sec	onds (	0 hours	0 minu	tes 0	seconds)



© 2022 ANSYS, Inc. / Confidential

۹ 🔶

Initial field pt

### d3max: support of ALE results

• ALE pressure is saved as negative stress results for solid elements.

*DATABASE_D3MAX							
\$# dtcheck 0.001	me	pstrs	pstrn	ifilt	output 3	fcutout	Thanks to Hao Chen, Nicolas
*DATABASE MAX S	HELL SET						Aquelet and Nikolay Mladenov
\$# id1	id2						for their help.
1							
*SET_SHELL_GENE	RAL						
1					OUTPUT	Ou	it nut format and flag to determine whether minimum or maximum values
ALL					_ 001101	are	e output:
AS+ EX03 ALE-Euler : Ecroulement	t d'eau			¥ -4			EQ.0: Write maximum stress / strain to d3max
Contours of X-stress min=-1.40293e-07, at elem# 193				-2.316e-23			$E_{0}$ 1: A manufatha manimum atom ( atom is more that deport
max=-0, at elem# 9 ≫				-1.403e-08			EQ. 1. Append the maximum stress / strain results to uspair
Post 🛛				-2.806e-08 _			EQ.2: Write the maximum stress / strain results to d3part instead of the
				-4.209e-08 _			normal data that goes into d3part (negative time stamps are used
				-5.612e-08 _			d3part output which saves time history results for selected parts)
				-8.418e-08			
				-9.821e-08			EQ.3. Write minimum stress / strain to 03Max
				-1.122e-07			EQ.4: Append the minimum stress / strain results to d3part
				-1.263e-07			EQ.5: Write the minimum stress / strain results to d3part instead of the
				-1.403e-07 _			normal data that goes into d3part (negative time stamps are used
							in d3part to distinguish when this is done from the normal
							d3part output, which saves time history results for selected parts



### d3max: support of ALE results



Initialization of the initial pressures due to an explosive disturbance

\*INITIAL\_DETONATION \*MAT\_HIGH\_EXPLOSIVE\_BURN



## Fatigue: integration with material damage for battery safety analysis

Contours of Cumulative damage ratio

max=0.770653, at elem# 26933

max IP. value

min=0, at elem# 1



- 1. Run transient damage analysis from impact;
  - Residual stress saved in dynain
  - Damage from GISSMO model saved in d3plot
- 2. Run random vibration fatigue analysis;
  - Pre-stressed eigenvalue analysis with dynain
  - Random vibration fatigue analysis with base acceleration PSD
  - Damage from GISSMO model is included as initial damage ratio

Thanks to Tobias Erhart & Daniel Wang for their help.

#### Without GISSMO damage



Cumulative damage ratio

7.707e-01

6.936e-01

6.165e-01 \_ 5.395e-01 \_ 4.624e-01 \_ 3.853e-01 \_ 3.083e-01 \_ 2.312e-01 \_ 1.541e-01 \_ 7.707e-02 \_ 0.000e+00



#### With GISSMO damage



### **Summary and Future work**





- A lot of updates and improvement have been introduced in the linear solvers in LS-DYNA during the past few years
  - Vibration solvers (FRF, SSD)
  - Acoustic solvers (directivity plot, SMP and SI, restart)
  - D3max (support ALE results)
  - Fatigue solvers (integration with material damage for battery safety analysis)
- We benefit from suggestions and feedback from users and ACE teams



### Future work

- "One button conversion" to provide an easy conversion from LS-DYNA crash analysis model to NVH model
- Integration to Ansys WB platform
- Extension of acoustic spectral element method to frequency domain
- FEM-BEM coupled acoustic analysis
- Ray tracing acoustics (room acoustics)
- Adaptive meshing for acoustics (based on frequencies)

## Thank you



