

# Reduction in simulation time and storage requirements using LoCo for SDM

<u>Marko Thiele</u><sup>2</sup>, Thomas Hambrecht<sup>3</sup>, Harald Schluder<sup>3</sup> Stefan Mertler<sup>1</sup>, Clemens-August Thole<sup>1</sup>, Heiner Müllerschön<sup>2</sup>, Martin Liebscher<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>SIDACT GmbH <sup>2</sup>SCALE GmbH <sup>3</sup>AUDI AG





Audi Vorsprung durch Technik

## Introduction

## Reduction of simulation time by optimization of domain decomposition

#### Reduction of

- Input data by data deduplication
- Result data using FEMZIP-E





### SCALE GmbH...

- is a 100% subsidiary of DYNAmore with about 20 engineers and computer scientists
- is dedicated to provide scalable IT-solutions for CAE data and process management
- cooperates with SIDACT as a specialist for data compression
- offers the following products which have been developed in the past 10 years in close cooperation with AUDI





## Motivation

Audi Vorsprung durch Technik

- Increasing average model sizes
  - average model size is still increasing
  - input data today partly exceed 1GB

- Increasing number of simulations
- more load cases
- more vehicle models
- more simulation disciplines
- more ...

SCAL

- Increasing throughput of simulations per user
  - Individual users are doing more simulations



## Approach



#### Typical workflow properties in SDM-System

- many small design changes
- continuous evaluation of the same load cases
- data and metadata of all simulations are stored in a central place

#### Goals

- harvesting information of completed simulations in order to optimize performance of future simulations
- store only changes in model input and result data







#### Introduction

## Reduction of simulation time by optimization of domain decomposition

#### Reduction of

- Input data by data deduplication
- Result data using FEMZIP-E





### Problem

- simulation wall clock time is not scaling linear
- using more CPUs to compensate for increasing models is limited
- domain decomposition is based on estimates
- the calculation on all domains has to halt in order to wait for just one domain lacking behind



### Goals

- monitoring of performance of all domains
- adjusting the domain size of new simulations according to the gathered profiling information





Audi

Vorsprung durch Technik



#### Investigations

- performance gain depends on load case and number of CPUs
- Investigations have been performed using the same model without applying changes
- Performance gain depending on load case
  - 5 typical load cases have been investigated
  - 48CPUs have been used for each simulation
  - 10 simulations have been performed per iteration
  - 5 iterations have been performed for each load case
  - no changes to models between iterations
- Performance gain depending on number of used CPUs
  - 1 load case (front wall)
  - 16, 32, 64 and 128 numbers of CPUs
  - 5 iterations per setup

SCALE

- no changes to model between iterations
- 10 runs for final iteration on each setup



# **Optimization of Domain Decomposition**



#### Implementation in productive environment

- LoCo has been used as SDM-System to gather the profiling information of ongoing simulations and provide this information to new simulations in order to optimize domain decomposition
- each simulation in LoCo may use the profiling information of one of its predecessors
- overcompensation is avoided by monitoring the performance over multiple runs
- all simulations started with LoCo use this approach by default, no user interaction is required
- the difference between artificial tests and the productive environment is that in the productive environment there are always ongoing changes between calculations
- Results in productive environment

SCALE

- 74 samples of original simulations of Q2/2014 have been recalculated without optimization
- all simulations have been performed on 32CPUs
- the overall performance gain has been <u>8%</u>







### Introduction

# Reduction of simulation time by optimization of domain decomposition

#### Reduction of

- Input data by data deduplication
- Result data using FEMZIP-E





# **Reducing Input Data**

#### Motivation

- input data keeps increasing
- models are best handled at the local workstation
  - input data has to be transferred and stored
- usually only small changes are applied for each simulations

#### Goal

- storing and transmitting only the changes that users apply
- reducing the required data volume as much as possible
- considering storage as well as bandwidth and transfer volume
- Solution
  - data deduplication
  - using the best available compression algorithms







# Layers of Compression of Input Data

- File level Data Deduplication
  - each Simulation consists of multiple Files
  - changes for a simulation usually only affect a few files
  - only changed files are stored and transferred
  - savings approximately factor 20-25
  - standard in LoCo
- Block level Data Deduplication
  - changes on simulation input usually affect only a few lines
  - file is separated into blocks
  - only changed blocks are stored and transferred
  - savings approximately factor 8-10
  - in development for LoCo (VAVID)<sup>1</sup>
- Standard compression algorithms
  - simulation input files are usually ASCII
  - standard compression algorithms (e.g. zip, bzip, lzma) work best on ASCII data
  - savings approximately factor 3-4
  - standard in LoCo



#### 200TB raw input data

## **8TB unique files**

# 1TB unique blocks

#### 0.25 TB stored data









#### LoCo\_speichert\_nur\_das\_was\_nötig\_ist.



#### include consists of blocks:

5 + 37 = 42 characters

BCDE

Α







#### Estimates based on Test Data

|  | [GB]  | [%]  |
|--|-------|------|
| original LoCo vault data for one project | 40,5  | 100% |
| raw data (vault decompressed)            | 157,2 | 388% |
| data deduplication (without compression) | 17,1  | 42%  |
| compression gzip                         | 5,1   | 12%  |
| compression lzma                         | 3,2   | 8%   |

#### Problems yet to be solved

- block index can become very large (high demand on RAM)
- deduplication has to be done client side (reducing transfer volume)
- very high performance requirements for request rates to block index (esp. server side)
- performance for file reconstruction is curtail
- permanently deleting individual data sets is challenging







### Introduction

# Reduction of simulation time by optimization of domain decomposition

#### Reduction of

- Input data by data deduplication
- Result data using FEMZIP-E





#### Motivation

- Simulation results often contain data of various different variables.
  - The more variables are used in the output the bigger becomes the final result file.
- Due to generally small changes in models the results often contain comparable data
  - Reduce data by storing just the differences between new and known results

## Problems

- With the increasing number of load cases it becomes difficult to decide witch variables to consider for the output files
- Taking advantage of similarities between runs is a challenging mathematical task
  - Result data contains chaotic components
  - Unchanged parts of the models might behave similar but not identical

## Solution

- Reducing the used variables in the output files to the really required ones for the specific load case and task will reduce the overall required storage.
- Applying FEMZIP-E by SIDACT in order to take advantage of similarities between runs.





#### reduce output

- specify necessary output in header
  - output only relevant data from solver
  - specify relevant output for each use case
  - use features such as selective output
- delete unnecessary output from results
  - use tools from solver to delete unwanted data
  - use e.g. Animator 4 to cut relevant parts of the model

#### compress output

- use FEMZIP
- tune regular FEMZIP parameter
  - use reasonable accuracy
  - delete unnecessary functions from result files

#### FEMZIP-E

 Use previous results and store only newly added data

#### ~ 2x (?)

#### measures with LoCo

- specify output individually by
  - discipline
  - load case
  - . .

#### use scripts in LoCo to reduce data

 Animator session files for each load case to cut relevant parts of the model

#### measures with LoCo

- specify FEMZIP parameter file individually by
  - discipline
  - load case

...

#### measures with LoCo

- find correct predecessor to store new results
- retrieve necessary files to restore individual results
- delete old data by means of access time and access count

# SIDACTGmbH

## SCALE



#### storing result data with FEMZIP-E

each simulation produces two Files

SCALE

- **FDB or UFDB:** Database of properties that can be used throughout multiple simulations
- **EFZ:** Additional Information to restore individual result
- result data of each Simulation depends on FDB and UFDB files of predecessors





- deleting individual Data from FEMZIP-E
  - ~85% of the data: EFZ files can be deleted individually
  - ~15% of the data: FDB files and UFDB files have to be kept until all results of a chain are deleted

SCALE



### Result Data (FEMZIP-E general principles and workflow)



## SCALE

## Result Data (compression results)

Audi Vorsprung durch Technik

2012 KW 31

### Test Data

- one load case (front wall)
- 155 Results
- compressed with respect to history/order of creation
- Results are extracted from productive environment and have been created over a period of approximately 6 month

### Results

| FEMZIP-P     |       | 13,95 GB |     |
|--------------|-------|----------|-----|
| FEMZIP-E     | FDB   | 0,85 GB  | 15% |
|              | EFZ   | 5,00 GB  | 85% |
|              | Total | 5,85 GB  |     |
| FEMZIP-E + § | gzip  | 4,74 GB  |     |

#### <u>Factor P/E 2,94</u>\*

 higher compression rates of up to factor 4 could be achieved when compressing all files at once





- deleting individual Data from FEMZIP-E
  - ~85% of the data: EFZ files can be deleted individually
  - ~15% of the data: FDB files and UFDB files have to be kept until all results of a chain are deleted
  - parts of chains can be deleted individually
  - length of chain is limited (e.g. < 20 results)

## advanced scheme for deletion

Constraints

SCALE

- MAX\_KEEP always delete, e.g. > 6 month
- MIN\_KEEP
- MAX\_STORAGE
- Values to be considered
  - ACCESS\_TIME
  - ACCESS\_COUNT
- delete until smaller than, e.g. 500GB

never delete, e.g. < 1 week

- dered
- last time result has been accessed
- number of times result has been accessed





## Summary

Audi Vorsprung durch Technik

### Calculation Time

- can be reduced by optimizing domain decomposition
- already implemented and proven to work in productive environment

## Reducing Input Data

- Multiple levels of eliminating redundancy
  - file level data deduplication
  - block level data deduplication (in development)
  - standard compression algorithms

## Reducing Output Data

- intelligent mechanisms to determine what really needs to be stored
- FEMZIP-E by SIDACT (in development)







# Vielen Dank!

# SCALE\_\_

